

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,292	11/10/2006	Jurgen Schulz	4A005-002US1	8644
69713 OCCHIUTI R	7590 OHLICEK & TSAO, LI	EXAMINER		
10 FAWCETT	STREET	OBAID, FATEH M		
CAMBRIDGE	E, MA 02138		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@ORTPATENT.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/553,292	SCHULZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FATEH M. OBAID	3627	

	FATEH M. OBAID	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 A The reply was flied after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, this the statutory period for reply expire later than 3th MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (I bot x is checked, check either bot (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the self or this control of the self or the sel	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	and an investment of the second					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	, ,		he issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) opjected to: Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627	/F. M. O./ Examiner, Art Unit 3627					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments field on 12/1/2010 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that neither patent discloses "sensor units for electing identification data, package sized (length, width, height, weight), addresses and geo coordinates, respectively." Applicant is reminded that claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. The Examiner respectively disagrees at least for the following reasons: As It was stated in the final rejection that Robare does the detecting identification data, package size, addresses and geo coordinates, respectively. Using the sensor in Robare in Fig. 1 sensor to the positioning system. Also in 3:35-64 and 4:46-64 it explain how the component of 24 include a sensor and provide the and detect various data. Applicants need to more positively recited the claimed invention to overcome the prior art on record. Therefore Bloom in combination Robare meets the scope of the claimed invention) is maintained.